

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/679,908	10/06/2003	Robert E. Smith III	221-0073US 3821	
29855	7590 09/26/2005		EXAMINER	
WONG, CABELLO, LUTSCH, RUTHERFORD & BRUCCULERI,			BEACH, THOMAS A	
P.C. 20333 SH 249	n		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 600 HOUSTON, TX 77070			3671	THE EXTONIBER
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/679,908	SMITH, ROBERT E.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thomas A. Beach	3671		

Advisory Action	10/0/9,900 SWITH, ROBERT E.		••				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thomas A. Beach	3671					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In page 1.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have							
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal c	f the appeal.				
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	pe filed within the time period set fo	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(a	a).				
AMENDMENTS	but major to the plate of filling a bajo	f will mat be entered t					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	` ,				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. 🛛 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
See Continuation Sheet.  2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
13.  Other:		AREAIH					
	1	ASEACH					
		7 1					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050922

Continuation of 11 does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument regarding Smith '016 are noted; however, they are not persuasive since in figu 4 the poriton comprising elemnt 74 meets the broadest interpretation of the word tail, a tapering porition extending from a body, thus anticipating the claim.